

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/083,425	Applicant(s) BULMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner Phu K. Nguyen	Art Unit 2628	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Phu K. Nguyen. (3) _____.

(2) David Bomzer. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 06 March 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 91 and 96.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner suggests to add "a computer device" to perform the resizing and providing steps to overcome a 35 USC 101 problem. Also, claims 15-43 need to be amended to claim "The system" and claims 46-73 need to be amended to claim "The system" instead of "A customized media stream." Applicant's representative authorizes Examiner to make the amendment..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Phu K. Nguyen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628	
--	--